
MATHEMATICS 2T

18 FEBRUARY 2011

MIDTERM TEST

DR. N. KEVLAHAN

DURATION OF EXAM: 50 MINUTES

PLEASE SHOW ALL WORK AND CALCULATIONS

Answers should be as brief as possible

THIS TEST PAPER HAS 1 PAGE AND 3 QUESTIONS.

1. Consider a normalized floating point number system with base 10, precision 3, and exponent range $[-2, 2]$.

- [2] (a) Are the floating point numbers evenly distributed along the real line?
[4] (b) What are the largest and smallest positive numbers representable in this number system?
[2] (c) What is the maximum possible relative error in representing a non-zero floating point number in this system (with rounding by chopping)?
[4] (d) If $x = 3.33 \times 10^1$ and $y = 3.33 \times 10^{-2}$, what is the result of $x - y$ in this number system?

2. Consider numerical solutions to the linear system $Ax = b$, where A is non-singular.

- [4] (a) What is partial pivoting and why is it necessary for a *stable* Gaussian elimination algorithm?
[2] (b) Does every matrix have an LU factorization?
[4] (c) Is the residual *always* a good estimate of the actual error? Why or why not?
[4] (d) Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & -2 \\ 6 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 \\ -7/3 & -8/3 & 5/3 \\ -5/3 & -7/3 & 4/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

How many digits of accuracy would the computed solution of $Ax = b$ (for arbitrary b) lose compared with the input data accuracy? [Hint: you can use any convenient norm.]

- [2] 3. (a) When are iterative methods preferable to direct methods for solving linear systems?
[2] (b) Why does Gauss-Seidel iteration usually converge faster than Jacobi iteration?
[4] (c) Will Jacobi iterations converge for the following matrix? You must justify your answer.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- [6] (d) Suppose that the spectral radius $\rho(G) = 0.8912$, where G is the Jacobi iteration matrix for a particular matrix A . Estimate how large A must be for the Jacobi method to be more efficient than LU decomposition (assume the maximum tolerable error is 10^{-6}). You must justify your answer.

[Total: 40]

THE END

Math 2T test

①

1. $\beta = 10, P = 3, L = -2, U = 2$

(a) NO.

(b) Smallest = $\beta^L = 10^{-2} = 0.01$
largest = $\beta^{U+1} (1 - \beta^{-P}) = 10^3 \underbrace{(1 - 10^{-3})}_{0.999} = 999$

(c) $\epsilon = \beta^{1-P} = 10^{-2} = 0.01$

(d)
$$\begin{array}{r} 3.33 \times 10^1 \\ - 0.00 \times 10^1 \\ \hline 3.33 \times 10^1 \end{array} \quad \text{No change!}$$

2.

(a) In partial pivoting one permutes rows to bring largest element ^{use} of largest magnitude on or below diagonal as pivot. This ensures rounding error is minimized.

(b) No. (Although the rows of any matrix may be permuted to give a matrix which does have an LU factorization $PA=LU$.)

(c) The residual $\|Ax - b\|$ is only a good estimate of the actual error $\|x - \tilde{x}\|$ if $\text{cond}\|A\|$ is not too large.

②

2. (d) use L_∞ norm:

$$\|A\|_\infty = 180 \quad \|A^{-1}\|_\infty = 20/3$$

$$\therefore \text{cond}_\infty(A) = \|A\|_\infty \|A^{-1}\|_\infty = 180/3 = 60$$

$$\rightarrow \text{lose } \log_{10} \text{cond}_\infty(A) \text{ digits} = \log_{10} 60 = 1.78$$

~ 2 digits.

3. (a) Iterative methods are preferable for large (especially sparse systems), since complexity is $\sim Kn^2$, where K is number of iterations for required accuracy, compared with $\sim \frac{2}{3}n^3$ for LU.
 \rightarrow iterative methods win if $K \ll n$.

(b) G-S usually converges faster than Jacobi since updated entries of vector x are used immediately, rather than waiting until next iteration, i.e.
new value.

$$x_i^{(l+1)} = \frac{b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(l+1)} - \sum_{j > i} a_{ij} x_j^{(l)}}{a_{ii}}$$

(c) Not strictly diagonally dominant \rightarrow need to check spectral radius of G .

$$\rightarrow G = -D^{-1}(L+U) = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3/2 \\ -1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{need e-values. } \rightarrow \lambda^2 = 3/4$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(G) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \approx 0.866 < 1 \rightarrow \text{Converges}$$

(d) ~~as~~ Gain $-\log_{10} \rho(G)$ digits = 0.05 digits per iteration (or 20 iterations for one digit)

$\rightarrow K \sim 120$ iterations for tolerance 10^{-6}

Now need $K < \frac{2}{3}N$ or $N > \frac{3}{2}K$ for

Jacobi to be more efficient $\rightarrow N > \frac{3}{2} \cdot 120$

or $\boxed{N > 180}$ \therefore Jacobi will be

more efficient than LU for matrices larger than 180×180 .